UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

NORMAN H. SHATTUCK,

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

THE ALCOA RETIREMENT PLAN II, RULE IID,

Civil No. 07-784-ST

Defendant.

HAGGERTY, Chief Judge:

Magistrate Judge Stewart referred to this court a Findings and Recommendations [29] in this matter. The Findings and Recommendations recommends granting defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [20], denying plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [16], and entering judgment in favor of defendant. Plaintiff has filed timely objections and defendant has filed responses.

When a party objects to any portion of a Findings and Recommendations, the district court must conduct a de novo review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v.*

Case 3:07-cv-00784-ST Document 36 Filed 03/04/08 Page 2 of 2

Commodore Bus. Mach. Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981). Here, plaintiff's objections

do not point to any specific error, instead objecting to all of the conclusions reached in the

Findings and Recommendations and citing for support "the record and his previous briefing

submitted on his motion for summary judgment and in response to defendant's motion for

summary judgment." Pl. Obj. 2. In making this blanket objection to the Findings and

Recommendations, plaintiff has failed to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b),

which requires "specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." See,

e.g., State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Inversiones Errazuriz Limitada, 246 F. Supp. 2d 231, 239

(S.D.N.Y. 2002) ("[F]iling prior submissions before the district court and the magistrate cannot

qualify as the required specific objections to the [F&R].") (collecting cases). Nonetheless, the

court has reviewed the parties' filings and the record, and agrees with the Findings and

Recommendations.

For the foregoing reasons, the court adopts the Findings and Recommendations [29].

Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [20] is GRANTED and plaintiff's Motion for

Summary Judgment [16] is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4 day of March, 2008.

/s/ Ancer L. Haggerty

Ancer L. Haggerty

United States District Judge

2 - ORDER